

**Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary**

Application No.

09/720,102

Applicant(s)

VAN BREMPT ET AL.

Examiner

C. SAYALA

Art Unit

1761

**All Participants:**(1) C. SAYALA.(2) Mr Stephen Parker.**Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_\_

(3) \_\_\_\_\_

(4) \_\_\_\_\_

**Date of Interview:** 31 October 2003**Time:** \_\_\_\_\_**Type of Interview:**☒ Telephonic☐ Video Conference☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

1 and 21-22

Prior art documents discussed:

**Part II.**

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet**Part III.**

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

  
(Examiner/SPE Signature)\_\_\_\_\_  
(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Claim 1 limitation, as shown in the examiner's amendment, was deemed redundant and not supported literally in the specification; and claims 21-22 were presented after-final and lacked proper consideration with respect to search, support, etc. Examiner was authorized to delete the limitation in claim 1 and cancel claims 21-22 to place claims 1-20 in issuance..